## Extract from Hansard

[COUNCIL — Tuesday, 17 May 2011] p3169c-3170a Hon Michael Mischin

## "CARINE VISION" — DEVELOPMENT PLANS

Petition

**HON MICHAEL MISCHIN (North Metropolitan** — **Parliamentary Secretary)** [3.32 pm]: I present a petition containing 625 signatures, couched in the following terms —

## PETITION IN RELATION TO RE-DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR THE OLD CARINE TAFE SITE (CARINE VISION), CNR MARMION AVENUE AND ALMADINE DRIVE CARINE.

To the President and Members of the Legislative Council of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We the undersigned residents of Western Australia are opposed to the plans presented to the City of Stirling for this site. The plans presented by Landcorp, Cedar Woods and St Ives include zoning of R60 and R80, multi-storey apartments and retirement homes of up to 8 storeys above original ground level, inadequate public open space, not enough retention of natural bushland, and do not comply with the residential character of the existing community. These elements are all contrary to the expressed view of Stakeholders during the consultation process, and are in conflict with the Memorandum of Understanding between Landcorp and the City of Stirling in 2008. Also, the site's description as an 'activity centre' is inaccurate for its proposed use, and as such the infill requirements dictated by Directions 2031 do not apply. The site is inappropriate for high-density living, as it lacks adequate public transport access and current roads will not be able to be adapted to cope with increased traffic. With two schools in the immediate vicinity, this traffic load will create safety issues.

Your petitioners therefore respectfully request the Legislative Council to oppose these plans, and for the Minister of Lands to direct Landcorp to re-submit plans for this site based on low level buildings for residential commercial and retirement accommodation, with the residential accommodation not to exceed R30, and to include greater retention of the natural bushland.

And your petitioners as in duty bound, will ever pray.

[See paper 3261.]